1 2	COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY
3	
4 5	In the Matter of:
7 8	ELECTRONIC EXAMINATION OF THE ) APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ) ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ) Case No. ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM ) 2019-00007 NOVEMBER 1, 2016 THROUGH OCTOBER ) 31, 2018 )
9 10	PETITION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTION
11 12	1. Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") hereby petitions the
13	Public Service Commission of Kentucky ("Commission"), pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001
14	Section 13 and KRS 61.878, to grant confidential protection to certain information
15	contained in Big Rivers' responses to Items 4, 12, 22, 30, 31, and 32 of the
16	information requested in Appendix B to the Commission's February 11, 2019, order
17	in this matter (the "Confidential Information").
18	2. The Confidential Information consists of information about
19	confidential fuel supply bids to Big Rivers and Big Rivers' analysis of those bids (Big
20	Rivers' response and the attachment to the response to Item 4); the terms of future
21	off-system sales (Big Rivers' response to Item 12); projected fuel costs and
22	information that can readily be used to calculate Big Rivers' projected fuel costs,
23	including projected FAC factors and projected sales volumes (Big Rivers' response
24	and the attachment to the response to Item 22); projected fuel requirements in
25	amounts and dollars (Big Rivers' response to Item 30); projected off-system sales

- 1 amounts and dollars (Big Rivers' response to Item 31); and planned outage
- 2 schedules (Big Rivers' response to Item 32).
- 3. One (1) copy the pages containing Confidential Information, with the
- 4 Confidential Information highlighted with transparent ink, printed on yellow paper,
- 5 or otherwise marked "CONFIDENTIAL," is being filed in hardcopy format with this
- 6 petition. 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(2)(e).
- 7 4. Please note that certain personal information has been redacted from
- 8 the attachment to Big Rivers' response to Item 13 pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001
- 9 Section 4(10), which relates to privacy protection for filings.
- 10 5. If and to the extent the Confidential Information becomes generally
- available to the public, whether through filings required by other agencies or
- otherwise, Big Rivers will notify the Commission and have its confidential status
- 13 removed. 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(10)(b).
- 14 6. As discussed below, the Confidential Information is entitled to
- 15 confidential protection based upon KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1), which protects "records
- 16 confidentially disclosed to an agency or required by an agency to be disclosed to it,
- 17 generally recognized as confidential or proprietary, which if openly disclosed would
- 18 permit an unfair commercial advantage to competitors of the entity that disclosed
- 19 the records." KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1); 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(2)(a)(1).
- 7. Section I below explains that Big Rivers operates in competitive
- 21 environments in the wholesale power market and in the credit market. Section II
- 22 below shows that the Confidential Information is generally recognized as

- 1 confidential or proprietary. Section III below demonstrates that public disclosure of
- 2 the Confidential Information would permit an unfair commercial advantage to Big
- 3 Rivers' competitors.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## 4 I. Big Rivers Faces Actual Competition

- 5 8. As a generation and transmission cooperative, Big Rivers competes in 6 the wholesale power market. This includes not only the short-term bilateral energy 7 market, the day-ahead and real time energy and ancillary services markets, and the 8 capacity market to which Big Rivers has access by virtue of its membership in Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO"), but also forward 9 10 bilateral long-term agreements and wholesale agreements with utilities and 11 industrial customers. Big Rivers' ability to successfully compete in the market is 12 dependent upon a combination of its ability to: 1) obtain the maximum price for the power it sells, and 2) keep its cost of production as low as possible. Fundamentally, 13 14 if Big Rivers' cost of producing a unit of power increases, its ability to sell that unit 15 in competition with other utilities is adversely affected.
  - 9. Big Rivers also competes for reasonably priced credit in the credit markets, and its ability to compete is directly impacted by its financial results.

    Lower revenues and any events that adversely affect Big Rivers' margins will adversely affect its financial results and potentially impact the price it pays for credit. A competitor armed with Big Rivers' proprietary and confidential information will be able to increase Big Rivers' costs or decrease Big Rivers' revenues, which could in turn affect Big Rivers' apparent creditworthiness. A utility the size of Big Rivers that operates generation and transmission facilities

- will always have periodic cash and borrowing requirements for both anticipated and
- 2 unanticipated needs. Big Rivers expects to be in the credit markets on a regular
- 3 basis in the future, and it is imperative that Big Rivers improve and maintain its
- 4 credit profile.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 5 10. Accordingly, Big Rivers has competitors in both the power and capital
- 6 markets, and its Confidential Information should be protected to prevent the
- 7 imposition of an unfair competitive advantage.

## 8 II. The Confidential Information is Generally Recognized as 9 Confidential or Proprietary

- 11. The Confidential Information for which Big Rivers seeks confidential treatment under KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) is generally recognized as confidential or proprietary under Kentucky law.
  - 12. As noted above, the Confidential Information protected under KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) consists of or reveals information about confidential fuel supply bids to Big Rivers and Big Rivers' analysis of those bids, the terms of future off-system sales, projected fuel costs, projected fuel requirements in amounts and dollars, projected off-system sales volumes and prices, and planned maintenance schedules.
  - 13. Public disclosure of confidential bid information and Big Rivers' analysis of those bids, projected fuel costs and prices, and projected off-system sales prices will give Big Rivers' suppliers, buyers, and competitors insight into Big Rivers' view of future fuel prices and market power prices, which would indicate the prices at which Big Rivers is willing to buy or sell such items. Public disclosure of the terms of future off-system sales, projected fuel and off-system sales volumes,

- and planned maintenance schedules will give Big Rivers' suppliers, buyers, and
- 2 competitors insight into when Big Rivers will have power available to sell into the
- 3 market or when Big Rivers needs power, and the amount of power Big Rivers has to
- 4 sell or will need.
- 5 14. Information about a company's detailed inner workings is generally
- 6 recognized as confidential or proprietary. See, e.g., Hoy v. Kentucky Indus.
- 7 Revitalization Authority, 907 S.W.2d 766, 768 (Ky. 1995) ("It does not take a degree
- 8 in finance to recognize that such information concerning the inner workings of a
- 9 corporation is 'generally recognized as confidential or proprietary"); Marina
- 10 Management Servs. v. Cabinet for Tourism, Dep't of Parks, 906 S.W.2d 318, 319 (Ky.
- 11 1995) (unfair commercial advantage arises simply from "the ability to ascertain the
- economic status of the entities without the hurdles systemically associated with the
- acquisition of such information about privately owned organizations"). Moreover,
- 14 the Commission has previously granted confidential treatment to similar
- information. See, e.g., In the Matter of: An Examination of the Application of the
- 16 Fuel Adjustment Clause of Kentucky Power Company from November 1, 2012
- 17 through October 31, 2014, Order, P.S.C. Case No. 2014-00450 (Jan. 24, 2019)
- 18 (granting confidential treatment to planned outage schedules, coal bid analysis
- information, and forecasted fuel cost and sales data); In the Matter of: Electronic
- 20 Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Big Rivers Electric
- 21 Corporation from November 1, 2017 through April 30, 2018, Order, P.S.C. Case No.
- 22 2018-00221 (Oct. 16, 2018) (granting confidential treatment to the terms of future

- off-system sales); In the Matter of: Application of the Union Light, Heat and Power
- 2 Company for Confidential Treatment, Order, P.S.C. Case No. 2003-00054 (Aug. 4,
- 3 2003) (finding that bids submitted to a utility were confidential). In fact, in
- 4 previous reviews of Big Rivers' fuel adjustment clause, the Commission has granted
- 5 confidential treatment to the same type of information as the Confidential
- 6 Information in this case. See, e.g., In the Matter of: Electronic Examination of the
- 7 Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Big Rivers Electric Corporation from
- 8 May 1, 2017 through October 31, 2017, Order, P.S.C. Case No. 2018-00023 (May 29,
- 9 2018).
- 10 15. The Confidential Information is not publicly available, is not
- disseminated within Big Rivers except to those employees and professionals with a
- legitimate business need to know and act upon the information, and is not
- disseminated to others without a legitimate need to know and act upon the
- 14 information. As such, the Confidential Information is generally recognized as
- 15 confidential and proprietary.
- III. Disclosure of the Confidential Information Would Permit an
   Unfair Commercial Advantage to Big Rivers' Competitors
- 18 16. Disclosure of the Confidential Information would permit an unfair
- 19 commercial advantage to Big Rivers' competitors. As discussed above, Big Rivers
- 20 faces actual competition in the wholesale power market and in the credit market. It
- 21 is likely that Big Rivers would suffer competitive injury if that Confidential
- 22 Information was publicly disclosed.

1 17. The Confidential Information includes material such as Big Rivers' 2 projections of fuel and market power prices. If that information is publicly 3 disclosed, potential fuel and power suppliers to Big Rivers and buyers of power from Big Rivers would have insight into the prices Big Rivers is willing to buy and sell 4 5 those items at and could manipulate the bidding process, leading to higher prices or 6 lower revenues for Big Rivers and impairing its ability to compete in the wholesale 7 power and credit markets. In P.S.C. Case No. 2003-00054, the Commission granted 8 confidential protection to bids submitted to Union Light, Heat & Power ("ULH&P"). 9 ULH&P argued, and the Commission implicitly accepted, that if the bids it received 10 were publicly disclosed, contractors on future work could use the bids as a 11 benchmark, which would likely lead to the submission of higher bids. In the Matter of: Application of the Union Light, Heat and Power Company for Confidential 12 13 Treatment, Order, P.S.C. Case No. 2003-00054 (Aug. 4, 2003). The Commission also 14 implicitly accepted ULH&P's further argument that the higher bids would lessen 15 ULH&P's ability to compete with other gas suppliers. Id. Similarly, potential fuel 16 and power suppliers and power purchasers manipulating Big Rivers' bidding 17 process would lead to higher costs or lower revenues to Big Rivers and would place 18 it at an unfair competitive disadvantage in the wholesale power market and credit 19 markets.

18. Potential market power purchasers could use the information related to Big Rivers' projected off-system sales amounts, fuel requirements, future off-system sales, and planned outage schedules to know when and to the extent Big

20

21

22

- 1 Rivers is long on power and could use that information to manipulate their bids,
- 2 leading to lower revenues to Big Rivers and placing it at an unfair competitive
- 3 disadvantage in the credit markets.
- 4 19. Additionally, public disclosure of the fuel prices and information about
- 5 Big Rivers' wholesale power needs would give the power producers and marketers
- 6 with which Big Rivers competes in the wholesale power market insight into Big
- 7 Rivers' cost of producing power and need for power and energy during the periods
- 8 covered by the information. Knowledge of this information would give those power
- 9 producers and marketers an unfair competitive advantage because they could use
- that information to potentially underbid Big Rivers in wholesale transactions.
- 11 20. With regard to the bid information and analysis, in P.S.C. Case No.
- 12 2003-00054, the Commission implicitly accepted ULH&P's argument that the
- bidding contractors would not want their bid information publicly disclosed, and
- 14 that disclosure would reduce the contractor pool available to ULH&P, which would
- drive up ULH&P's costs, hurting its ability to compete with other gas suppliers. *In*
- 16 the Matter of: Application of the Union Light, Heat and Power Company for
- 17 Confidential Treatment, Order, P.S.C. Case No. 2003-00054 (Aug. 4, 2003).
- 18 Similarly, in Hoy v. Kentucky Indus. Revitalization Authority, the Kentucky
- 19 Supreme Court found that without protection for confidential information provided
- 20 to a public agency, "companies would be reluctant to apply for investment tax
- 21 credits for fear the confidentiality of financial information would be compromised.
- 22 Hoy v. Kentucky Indus. Revitalization Authority, 907 S.W.2d 766, 769 (Ky. 1995).

- 1 21. In Big Rivers' case, if confidential treatment of the bid information and
- 2 its analysis of that information is denied, potential bidders would know that their
- 3 bids and related information would be publicly disclosed, which could reveal
- 4 information to their competitors about their competitiveness. Because many
- 5 companies would be reluctant to have such information disclosed, public disclosure
- 6 of the bid information and analysis would likely suppress the competitive bidding
- 7 process and reduce the pool of bidders willing to bid to supply Big Rivers' fuel needs,
- 8 driving up Big Rivers' fuel costs (which could then drive up the cost of credit to Big
- 9 Rivers) and impairing its ability to compete in the wholesale power and markets.
- 10 22. Accordingly, the public disclosure of the information that Big Rivers

  11 seeks to protect pursuant to KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) would provide Big Rivers'

  12 competitors with an unfair commercial advantage.

## 13 IV. Time Period

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23. Big Rivers requests that the Confidential Information remain confidential for a period of five (5) years from the date of this petition, which will allow sufficient time for the information to become sufficiently outdated to no longer pose a competitive risk to Big Rivers. 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(2)(a)(2).

## V. Conclusion

24. Based on the foregoing, the Confidential Information is entitled to confidential protection. If the Commission disagrees that Big Rivers is entitled to confidential protection, the Commission should hold an evidential hearing to protect Big Rivers' due process rights and to supply the Commission with a complete record

1	to enable it to reach a decision with regard to this matter. See Utility Regulatory
2	Com'n v. Kentucky Water Service Co., Inc., 642 S.W.2d 591 (Ky. App. 1982).
3	WHEREFORE, Big Rivers respectfully requests that the Commission classify
4	and protect as confidential the Confidential Information.
5	On this the 25th day of February, 2019.
6	Respectfully submitted,
7	
8 9	10
10	Tyson Kamuf
11	Corporate Attorney,
12	Big Rivers Electric Corporation
13	201 Third Street
14	P.O. Box 727
15	Henderson, Kentucky 42419-0024
16	Phone: (270) 827-2561
17	Facsimile: (270) 844-6417
18	tyson.kamuf@bigrivers.com